

### Comparison of Bone Graft Storage Effectiveness Between Cryopreservation and Subcutaneous in Patients Conducted Craniectomy Decompression

Mahery Y<sup>1</sup>, Onie A<sup>1\*</sup>, Theodorus<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia \*Corresponding author: <u>onieanugrah@yahoo.com</u>

#### Abstract

**Background:** Decompression craniectomy is a surgical method used for immediate reduction of intracranial pressure. Repair of cranial bone defects to protect the brain and reconstruct the original cranial brain compartment is called a cranioplasty.

**Methods:** In this study researchers will conduct research and compare storage of bone graft in the freezer with a temperature of -20 ° C and subcutaneous to the risk of infection. The research design used was paired clinical trials. Clinical tests were in open label form.

**Results:** The analysis of significance using the Mann Whitnay test showed that the value of p = 0.381. This means that there is no significant difference between the storage group in the subcutie and the storage group in the Cryopreservation (p > 0.05). The analysis of significance using the McNemar test showed that the p value = 0.003.

**Conclusion:** This means that there is a significant relationship between the storage group in the subcutie and the storage group in the Cryopreservation (p < 0.05).

Keywords: decompression craniectomy, cranioplasty, bone graft, freezer, subcuties



#### **1. Introduction**

Decompression craniectomy is a surgical method used for immediate reduction of intracranial pressure<sup>1</sup>, malignant cerebral edema and hernation of the brain due to cerebral infarction, intracranial hemorrhage, and severe traumatic brain injury<sup>2</sup>. One of the complications is postoperative neurologic deterioration due to decompression<sup>3</sup>. One of the treatment is decompression craniectomy<sup>4</sup>.

Repair cranial bone defects for brain protection and reconstruct the original cranial brain compartment<sup>5</sup>. Those who survive are obliged to undergo a second procedure for cranial surgical reconstruction which is cranioplasty<sup>6</sup>. Cranioplasty is a surgical intervention to correct cranial defects both cosmetically and functionally. The history of cranioplasty has already existed since 7000 B.C.<sup>7</sup> There are four possible treatments for the bone flap after craniotomy, i.e.: the bone is placed under the subcutaneous abdominal tissue, the bone is preserved in the subgaleal space at the edge of the craniotomy, the bone flap is frozen and the flap is removed for delayed cranioplasty 8.

Subsequent cranioplasty with autologous skull bones had a bone resorption rate from 4% to 22.8% and an infection rate from 3.3% to 26%. Nowadays there is no standard method to treat craniectomy bone flap is explored. The traditional method is to place a bone flap in the subcutaneous pocket against the abdominal wall. Alternatively, craniectomy bone flaps can be stored in the freezer at -80°C (with an acceptable range of 70°C to 90°C) using the aseptic technique.<sup>9</sup>

In this study, researcher will conduct a study and compare the storage of bone graft in the freezer with a temperature of  $-20^{\circ}$  C and subcutaneous to the risk of infection in patients undergoing decompression craniectomy. The researcher will scrutinize which one is more effective against the risk of infection in patients undergoing decompression craniectomy. Researcher used a temperature of  $-20^{\circ}$ C because the household freezer temperature was  $\pm 20^{\circ}$ C.

#### 2. Method

Design of the study used was paired clinical trials. Clinical tests were conducted in open label form. The population in the study were all patients who underwent craniectomy at dr.



Mohammad Hoesin Palembang General Hospital. The sampling was done by using Matching Formulas (gender and age) sums up 15 people per group.

If the gap between the bone graft and the skull increased by > 20 % during the follow-up period, three cross sections were randomly selected and the Hounsfield unit (HU) of the graft and skull was measured at five different points. In cases where the mean HU measurement from bone grafts decreased > 5 % compared to the remaining skull, contours were also compared. The damage of the bone is defined as a decrease in the surface area of the bone that is associated resorption and atrophy.

#### 3. Result

This study is a paired clinical trial. Clinical tests are conducted in open label form. 30 people were performed with decompression craniectomy, which were divided into two groups which are the subcuties group and the Cryopreservation storage group. This chapter described the data normality test, difference test and relationship test

STS SRIWIJAYA JOURNAL OF SURGERY

Tabel 1. Frequency distribution	between subcuties storage group	(15) and Cryopreservation
---------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------

Variable	Characteristic	Total	Subcuties	Cryopreservation
Age	Year		$39.73 \pm 14.902$	40.27 ± 12.657
	Early Adolescent	2	1 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)
	Late Adolescent	4	3 (75.0%)	1 (25.0%)
	Young Adult	3	1 (33.3%)	2 (66.7%)
	Adult	9	4 (44.4%)	5 (55.6%)
	Early Elderly	7	3 (42.9%)	4 (57.2%)
	Late seniors	5	3 (60.0%)	2 (40.0%)
	> 35 years old	21	10 (47.6%)	11 (52.4%)
	$\leq$ 35 years old	9	5 (55.6%)	4 (44.4%)
Gender	Male	18	9 (50.0%)	9 (50.0%)
	Female	12	6 (50.0%)	6 (50.0%)
Occupation	Housewife / Jobless	12	6 (50.0%)	6 (50.0%)
	Trader	7	3 (42.9%)	4 (57.1%)
	Employee	6	3 (50.0%)	3 (50.0%)
	Farmer	5	3 (60.0%)	2 (40.0%)
Education	Primary School	2	1 (50.0%)	1 (50.0 %)
	Junior High School	13	8 (61.5%)	5 (38.5%)
	Senior High School	14	6 (42.9%)	8 (57.1%)
	University	1	0 (0%)	1 (100,0%)
Socioeconomic	Rupiah (Rp)		$2.000.000 \pm 1.732.051$	$2.366.667 \pm 1.685.089$
	Under minimum wage	16	9 (56.3%)	7 (43.8%)
	Above minimum wage	14	6 (42.9%)	8 (57.1%)
Marital Status	Unmarried	6	4 (66.7%)	2 (33.3%)
	Married	24	11 (45.8%)	13 (54.2%)
Infection	mm <sup>3</sup>		$9452.00 \pm 5047.6$	$9204.67 \pm 5933.75$
	Infection	4	3 (75.0%)	1 (25.0%)
	Non Infection	26	12 (46.2%)	14 (53.8%)
Craniktomi	Abnormal	30	15 (50.0%)	15 (50.0%)
	Normal	0	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)
Bone Graft	Not good	4	2 (50.0%)	2 (50.0%)
	Good	26	13 (50.0%)	13 (50.0%)
Subcuties	Not good	3	3 (100.0%)	/
	Good	12	12 (100.0%)	
Cryopreservation	-20°C	15		

storage group (n=15)

Based on the data above, it shows that the age of the respondents are 21 people with the mean in the storage group in the subcutie is  $39.73 \pm 14.902$  and the storage group in the



Cryopreservation is  $40.27 \pm 12.657$ . The dominant gender is man which is 60.0%. Most of the occupation were housewife / not working at 40.0%. Most education level is high school sum up to 46.7%. The socioeconomic dominance under the minimum wage is 53.3% with the mean in the storage group in the subcutie is  $2.000.000 \pm 1.732.051$  and the storage group in the Cryopreservation is  $2.366.667 \pm 1.686.089$ . The dominant marital status is married with 80.0% people. The incidence of infection of the dominant respondent was non infected with the total of 26 people with the mean in the storage group in the subcutie was  $9452.00 \pm 5047.6$  and the storage group in the Cryopreservation was  $9204.67 \pm 5933.75$ . All craniectomies were abnormal. The bone graft was dominant, amounting to 26 people. The dominant subcutie were 12 people and all bone grafts in the storage group in the Cryopreservation were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C.

Before the data analysis were conducted, normality and homogeneity of the data must be tested to determine the use of parametric or alternative tests. The data normality was tested using the Shapiro- Wilk test, the result of the data normality test between groups showed that all data were p < 0.05, meaning that the data was not normally distributed, so an alternative test was used which was Mann Whitnay test and continued with the McNemar test. Data analysis used a 95% confidence level or stated differently if p < 0.05.

Group	N	mean $\pm$ SD (mm <sup>3</sup> )	р	
Subcuties	15	9452.00± 5057.58	0.903	
Cryopreservation	15	9204.67± 5933.75		

**Table 2.** The difference between subcuties dan Cryopreservation (n=30)

The data above shows that the mean number of leukocytes in the storage group in the subcutie was  $9452.00 \pm 5057.58$  and the mean number of leukocytes in the storage group in the Cryopreservation was  $9204.67 \pm 5933.75$ . The analysis of significance using the Mann Whitnay test showed that the value of p = 0.903. This means that there is no significant difference between the storage group in the subcutie and the storage group in the Cryopreservation (p > 0.05)



Variable	Bone Graf	Total	n	
	Subcuties	Cryopreservation	Total	Р
Infection	3	1 (25.0%)	4	
	(75.0%)			0,003
Non	12	14 (53.8%)	26	0,005
Infection	(46.2%)			

Table 3. Relation between Bone Graft storage and infection incidence (n=30)

The table above, shows that the storage group in the subcutie that was not infected was 46.2 %, while the infected group was 75.0% and the storage group in the Cryopreservation who was not infected was 53.8%, while the infected were 4 people. The analysis of significance using the McNemar test showed that the p value = 0.003. This means that there is a significant relationship between the storage group in the subcutie and the storage group in the Cryopreservation (p> 0.05).

#### 4. Discussion

Recently, the indications for cranioplasty have changed from only being cosmetic and protective to being therapeutic<sup>10</sup>. One indication of cranioplasty is a large cranium defect. In daily practice, this procedure is generally performed 3-6 months after craniectomy because of the risk of infection of brain swelling that has not yet healed <sup>11</sup>.

Infection graft cranioplasty was not associated with indication of craniectomy, time or interval of cranioplasty, graft material, or bone defect size but was significantly associated with previous temporal muscle resection, preoperative sub galeal fluid accumulation, and the interference with postoperative wounds.<sup>12</sup>

The basic principle of cranioplasty is : choosing a material that is suitable for the type and size of the defect, the material must have a low infection rate, low heat conduction, nonmagnetic, radioluscent tissue- acceptable, strong, can be formed easily and inexpensive. Before reaching bone closure, clear bony boundaries must be obtained, the SCALP must be separated from the dura. Dura tears must be closed immediately in an impermeable manner (water tight). The bone

# SJS SRIWIJAYA JOURNAL OF SURGERY

and cranioplasty material should be maximally attached to each other. To prevent the cranioplasty from shifting, the material must be fixed to the bone with the appropriate plates.

Overall it can be said that storage in the subcutie and storage in the Cryopreservation is acceptable but each patient still has an infection. This can be caused by other factors such as poor storage and maintenance of bone graft. In addition, the bones stored in the subcuties undergo lysis, when the bones are re-assembled they look less tidy because the distance between the bones is far away, while the storage in the Cryopreservation does not undergo lysis.

#### 5. Conclussion

The analysis of significance using the Mann Whitnay test showed that the value of p = 0.381. This means that there is no significant difference between the storage group in the subcutie and the storage group in the Cryopreservation (p > 0.05).

The analysis of significance using the McNemar test showed that the p value = 0.003. This means that there is a significant relationship between the storage group in the subcutie and the storage group in the Cryopreservation (p < 0.05).

#### 6. References

- Carter BS, Ogilvy CS, Candia GJ, Rosas HD, Buonanno F. One-year Outcome after Decompressive Surgery for Massive Nondominant Hemispheric Infarction. *Neurosurgery*. 1997;(March):1168-1176. doi:10.1097/0006123-199706000-00010
- Im SH, Jang DK, Han YM, Kim JT, Chung DS, Park YS. Long-term incidence and predicting factors of cranioplasty infection after decompressive craniectomy. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc*. 2012;52(4):396-403. doi:10.3340/jkns.2012.52.4.396
- M.S. G, Shanbhag NC, Shukla DP, Konar SK, Bhat DI, Devi BI. Complications of Decompressive Craniectomy. 2018;9(November):5-7. doi:10.3389/fneur.2018.00977
- Grossman N, Shemesh-Jan HS, Merkin V, Gideon M, Cohen A. Deep-freeze preservation of cranial bones for future cranioplasty: Nine years of experience in Soroka University Medical Center. *Cell Tissue Bank*. 2007;8(3):243-246. doi:10.1007/s10561-006-9032-x
- 5. Baldo S, Tacconi L. Effectiveness and Safety of Subcutaneous Abdominal Preservation of

## SRIWIJAYA JOURNAL OF SURGERY

STS

Autologous Bone Flap after Decompressive Craniectomy : A Prospective Pilot Study structing a cranial bone defect after decompressive craniectomy using an autolo- gous bone flap banked in a. *WNEU*. 2010;73(5):552-556. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2010.02.018

- Gooch MR, Gin Gr e., KenninG TJ, GeRMan JW. Complications of cranioplasty following decompressive craniectomy: analysis of 62 cases. 2009;26(June):1-7. doi:10.3171/2009.3.FOCUS0962
- 7. Aydin S, Kucukyuruk B, Abuzayed B, Aydin S, Sanus GZ. Cranioplasty: Review of materials and techniques. *J Neurosci Rural Pract*. 2011;2(2):162-167. doi:10.4103/0976-3147.83584
- 8. Joaquim AF, Mattos JP, Chaddad F, Lopes A, Oliveira E De. Bone flap management in neurosurgery Manejo do flap ósseo em neurocirurgia. 2009;(January 2014).
- Chan DYC, Mok YT, Lam PK, et al. Cryostored autologous skull bone for cranioplasty? A study on cranial bone flaps ' viability and microbial contamination after deep-frozen storage at À 80 ° C. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2017;(June 2011):2016-2018. doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2017.04.016
- Elkatatny AAAM, Eldabaa KA. Cranioplasty : A New Perspective. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2019;7(August 2016):2093-2101.
- Cho YJ, Kang SH. Review of Cranioplasty after Decompressive Craniectomy Objectives of Cranioplasty Optimal Timing of Cranioplasty Bone Substitute for Cranioplasty. 2017;13(1):9-14.
- Kim H, Sung SO, Kim SJ, Kim SR, Park IS, Jo KW. Analysis of the factors affecting graft infection after cranioplasty. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 2013;155(11):2171-2176. doi:10.1007/s00701-013-1877-8